

A new family of positive integers

Michel Lassalle

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
Institut Gaspard Monge, Université de Marne-la-Vallée
77454 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex, France

lassalle @ univ-mlv.fr

<http://www-igm.univ-mlv.fr/~lassalle/index.html>

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 05A10, 33C20

Abstract

Let n, p, k be three positive integers. We prove that the numbers $\binom{n}{k} {}_3F_2(1 - k, -p, p - n; 1, 1 - n; 1)$ are positive integers which generalize the classical binomial coefficients. We give two generating functions for these integers, and a straightforward application.

1 Definition

We use the standard notation for hypergeometric series

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

where for an indeterminate a and some positive integer k , the raising factorial is defined by $(a)_k = a(a+1) \dots (a+k-1)$.

There are not many families of positive integers which may be defined in terms of hypergeometric functions. Among them stand of course the binomial coefficients

$$\binom{n}{p} = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -p, p-n \\ 1 \end{matrix}; 1 \right].$$

Actually this expression is obtained by specializing $x = p - n, y = 1$ in the celebrated Chu - Vandermonde formula

$$\frac{(y-x)_p}{(y)_p} = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -p, x \\ y \end{matrix}; 1 \right].$$

Of course using this relation as a definition of binomial coefficients would be rather tautological. However, quite surprisingly, it is possible to define a new family of positive integers by slightly modifying the Chu - Vandermonde formula.

Indeed for any positive integers n, p, k , let us define

$$\binom{n}{p}_k = \binom{n}{k} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} 1-k, -p, p-n \\ 1-n, 1 \end{matrix}; 1 \right].$$

We have obviously

$$\binom{n}{p}_k = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n, \quad \binom{n}{p}_k = \binom{n}{n-p}_k, \quad \binom{n}{p}_n = \binom{n}{p},$$

the last equation following directly from the Chu - Vandermonde formula.

This definition can be rewritten

$$\binom{n}{p}_k = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{p}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} \frac{\binom{k-1}{r}}{\binom{n-1}{r}} = \frac{n}{k} \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{p}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} \binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1}. \quad (1)$$

Thus $\frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{p}_k$ is a positive integer.

One has easily

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{0}_k &= \binom{n}{k}, & \binom{n}{1}_k &= k \binom{n}{k}, & \binom{n}{2}_k &= k \binom{n}{k} + \frac{n(n-3)}{2} \binom{n-2}{k-2}, \\ \binom{n}{p}_0 &= 0 \quad \text{for } p \neq 0, n, & \binom{n}{p}_1 &= n, & \binom{n}{p}_2 &= \frac{n}{2} (n-1 + p(n-p)), \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{p}_{n-1} &= n \left[\binom{n-1}{p-1} + \binom{n-2}{p} \right], \\ \binom{n}{p}_{n-2} &= \binom{n}{2} \left[\binom{n-2}{p} + \binom{n-2}{p-2} \right] + \frac{n(n-3)}{2} \binom{n-4}{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

These relations suggest that $\binom{n}{p}_k$ is a positive integer.

2 Integrality

Using the Chu - Vandermonde formula

$$\binom{n-r-1}{n-k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \binom{n-i-1}{n-k-i},$$

we get

$$\binom{n}{p}_k = \frac{n}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i-1}{k-1} \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{p}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} \binom{r}{i}.$$

But using again the Chu - Vandermonde formula one has

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{r}{i} \binom{p}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} = \binom{p}{i} \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{p-i}{r-i} \binom{n-p}{r} = \binom{p}{i} \binom{n-i}{p}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{p}_k &= \frac{n}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i-1}{k-1} \binom{p}{i} \binom{n-i}{p} \\ &= \frac{n}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i-1}{k-1} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{n-2i}{p-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i}{k} \frac{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{n-2i}{p-i}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Finally we get

$$\binom{n}{p}_k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i}{k} \binom{n-2i}{p-i} \left[\binom{n-i}{i} + \binom{n-i-1}{i-1} \right].$$

We have thus proved

Theorem 1. *The positive number $\binom{n}{p}_k$ is an integer.*

Thanks are due to Jiang Zeng for shortening the proof of this result. Note that the previous relations imply immediatly

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{p}_k + \binom{n}{p-1}_k &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i}{k} \binom{n-2i+1}{p-i} \left[\binom{n-i}{i} + \binom{n-i-1}{i-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{k+1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{p}_{k+1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-k} (-1)^i \binom{n-i}{k} \binom{n-2i+1}{p-i} \binom{n-i-1}{i-2}. \end{aligned}$$

An intriguing problem is to get a combinatorial interpretation for $\binom{n}{p}_k$.

3 Generating functions

The following generating function is due to Jiang Zeng.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,p \geq 0} \binom{n}{p}_k x^p y^k &= 2^{-n} \left[\left((1+x)(1+y) + \sqrt{(1+x)^2(1+y)^2 - 4x(1+y)} \right)^n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left((1+x)(1+y) - \sqrt{(1+x)^2(1+y)^2 - 4x(1+y)} \right)^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From equation (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,p \geq 0} \binom{n}{p}_k x^p y^k &= \sum_{k,p \geq 0} x^p y^k \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{n-i}{k} \frac{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{n-2i}{p-i} \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i (1+y)^{n-i} \frac{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{i} x^i (1+x)^{n-2i} \\
&= (1+x)^n (1+y)^n \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{i} z^i,
\end{aligned}$$

with $z = -x/((1+x)^2(1+y))$. But we have the following identity

$$\sum_{n > i} \frac{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{i} z^i = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4z}}{2} \right)^n + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1+4z}}{2} \right)^n.$$

□

We can give another generating function. The following recurrence relation is needed.

Lemma. *We have*

$$(n-p+1) \binom{n}{p-1}_k - p \binom{n}{p}_k = \frac{n}{n-1} (n-2p+1) \binom{n-1}{p-1}_k.$$

Proof. This can be easily deduced from equation (1). Indeed up to n/k the left-hand side can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1} \left[(n-p+1) \binom{p-1}{r} \binom{n-p+1}{r} - p \binom{p}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} \right] \\
&= (n-2p+1) \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1} \left[\binom{p-1}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} - \binom{p-1}{r-1} \binom{n-p}{r-1} \right] \\
&= (n-2p+1) \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{p-1}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} \left[\binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1} - \binom{n-r-2}{k-r-2} \right] \\
&= (n-2p+1) \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{p-1}{r} \binom{n-p}{r} \binom{n-r-2}{k-r-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{n}{p}_k y^k = ny(y+1)^p {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} p+1, p-n+1 \\ 2 \end{matrix}; -y \right].$$

Proof. By recurrence over the integers n and p . The property is true for $p = 0$, since we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{n}{0}_k y^k = \sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{n}{k} y^k = (1+y)^n - 1 = ny {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 1, 1-n \\ 2 \end{matrix}; -y \right].$$

From the previous recurrence relation, we deduce that it is enough to prove

$$(n-p+1) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} p, p-n \\ 2 \end{matrix}; -y \right] - p(1+y) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} p+1, p-n+1 \\ 2 \end{matrix}; -y \right] = (n-2p+1) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} p, p-n+1 \\ 2 \end{matrix}; -y \right].$$

But this is a classical contiguity relation for ${}_2F_1$ (see for instance [4], Exercice 21.8, page 71), namely

$$(c-b-1) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; y \right] - a(1-y) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a+1, b+1 \\ c \end{matrix}; y \right] = (c-a-b-1) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b+1 \\ c \end{matrix}; y \right].$$

□

By identification of the coefficients of y we obtain a property which seems difficult to be proved directly, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{p}_k &= \frac{n}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n-p+i}{n-p} \binom{p}{i+1} \binom{n-p}{k-i-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \binom{k-1+i}{n-p} \binom{p}{n-k-i} \binom{n-p}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{p}{n} \binom{n}{p}_k$ is a positive integer.

This gives a second proof that $\binom{n}{p}_k$ is a positive integer. Indeed we get

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{p}_k &= \frac{p}{n} \binom{n}{p}_k + \frac{n-p}{n} \binom{n}{n-p}_k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \left[\binom{k-1+i}{n-p} \binom{p}{n-k-i} \binom{n-p}{i} + \binom{k-1+i}{p} \binom{n-p}{n-k-i} \binom{p}{i} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Incidentally we have also proved

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-n} \left[\left((1+x)(1+y) + \sqrt{(1+x)^2(1+y)^2 - 4x(1+y)} \right)^n \right. \\ \left. + \left((1+x)(1+y) - \sqrt{(1+x)^2(1+y)^2 - 4x(1+y)} \right)^n \right] = \\ 1 + x^n + ny \sum_{p=0}^n x^p (y+1)^p {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} p+1, p-n+1 \\ 2 \end{matrix}; -y \right]. \end{aligned}$$

4 Theory of partitions

Let us now indicate in which situation the integers $\binom{n}{p}_k$ are naturally encountered.

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a finite weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers, called parts. The number $n = l(\lambda)$ of parts is called the length of λ , and $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ the weight of λ . For any integer $i \geq 1$, $m_i(\lambda) = \text{card}\{j : \lambda_j = i\}$ is the multiplicity of i in λ . We set

$$z_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i(\lambda)} m_i(\lambda)!.$$

Let X be an indeterminate and n a positive integer. We write $[X]_n = X(X-1) \cdots (X-n+1)$ for the lowering factorial and $\binom{X}{n} = [X]_n/n!$. The following result has been proved in [1] (Theorem 4, p. 275) and in [5] : for any positive integers n, s we have

$$\sum_{|\mu|=n} \frac{X^{l(\mu)-1}}{z_\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (\mu_i)_s \right) = (s-1)! \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n,s)} \binom{s}{k} \binom{X+n-1}{n-k}.$$

This property generalizes as follows.

Theorem 4. *Let X be an indeterminate and n, r, s three positive integers. We have*

$$\sum_{|\mu|=n} \frac{X^{l(\mu)-1}}{z_\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (\mu_i)_r (\mu_i)_s \right) = \frac{r!s!}{r+s} \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n,r+s)} \binom{r+s}{s}_k \binom{X+n-1}{n-k}.$$

Proof. By recurrence over r . For $r = 0$ the property has been proved since $\binom{s}{s}_k = \binom{s}{k}$. Now one has $(i)_{r+1}(i)_s = (i)_r(i)_{s+1} + (r-s)(i)_r(i)_s$. Thus it is enough to prove

$$\frac{(r+1)!s!}{r+s+1} \binom{r+s+1}{s}_k = \frac{r!(s+1)!}{r+s+1} \binom{r+s+1}{s+1}_k + (r-s) \frac{r!s!}{r+s} \binom{r+s}{s}_k.$$

But this is the statement of the Lemma. □

This result suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture. *Let X be an indeterminate, n a positive integer and $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$ a positive multi-integer with weight $|r| = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i$. We have*

$$\sum_{|\mu|=n} \frac{X^{l(\mu)-1}}{z_\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \prod_{k=1}^m (\mu_i)_{r_k} \right) = \frac{\prod_j r_j!}{|r|} \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n, |r|)} c_k^{(r)} \binom{X+n-1}{n-k},$$

where the coefficients $c_k^{(r)}$ are positive integers, to be computed.

The integers $\binom{n}{p}_k$ appear also when studying (shifted) Jack polynomials in the spirit of [2, 3] (this application will be given in a forthcoming paper).

References

- [1] M. Lassalle, *Une identité en théorie des partitions*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, **89** (2000), 270–288.
- [2] M. Lassalle, *Some combinatorial conjectures for Jack polynomials*, Ann. Combin. **2** (1998), 61–83.
- [3] M. Lassalle, *Some combinatorial conjectures for shifted Jack polynomials*, Ann. Combin. **2** (1998), 145–163.
- [4] E. D. Rainville, *Special functions*, Chelsea, New York (1971).
- [5] Jiang Zeng, *A bijective proof of Lassalle’s partition identity*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, **89** (2000), 289–290.